

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:

Theodore F. Rabenko

Appl. No. 09/874,127

Filed: June 6, 2001

For: **Delay Reduction Method for
Telephony System With Multiple
Packet Generators**

Confirmation No. 7884

Art Unit: 1875.0630001

Examiner: To Be Assigned

Atty. Docket: 1875.0630001



Information Disclosure Statement

RECEIVED

JUN 27 2002

Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

Technology Center 2600

Sir:

Listed on accompanying Form PTO-1449 are documents that may be considered material to the examination of this application, in compliance with the duty of disclosure requirements of 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.56, 1.97 and 1.98.

Applicants have listed publication dates on the attached PTO-1449 based on information presently available to the undersigned. However, the listed publication dates should not be construed as an admission that the information was actually published on the date indicated.

Applicants reserve the right to establish the patentability of the claimed invention over any of the information provided herewith, and/or to prove that this information may not be prior art, and/or to prove that this information may not be enabling for the teachings purportedly offered.

This statement should not be construed as a representation that a search has been made, or that information more material to the examination of the present patent application

does not exist. The Examiner is specifically requested not to rely solely on the material submitted herewith.

Applicants have checked the appropriate boxes below.

- ☒ 1. This Information Disclosure Statement is being filed within three months of the date of filing of a national application other than a continued prosecution application (CPA), OR within three months of the date of entry of the national stage as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.491 in an international application, OR before the mailing date of a first Office Action on the merits OR before the mailing of a first Office Action after the filing of a request for continued examination under 37 C.F.R. § 1.114. No statement or fee is required.
- ☐ 2. This Information Disclosure Statement is being filed more than three months after the U.S. filing date AND after the mailing date of the first Office Action on the merits, but before the mailing date of a Final Rejection, or Notice of Allowance, or an action that otherwise closes prosecution in the application.
 - ☐ a. I hereby state that each item of information contained in this Information Disclosure Statement was first cited in any communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application not more than three months prior to the filing of this Information Disclosure Statement. 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e)(1).
 - ☐ b. I hereby state that no item of information in this Information Disclosure Statement was cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application and, to my knowledge after making reasonable inquiry, was known to any individual designated in 37 C.F.R. § 1.56(c) more than three months prior to the filing of this Information Disclosure Statement. 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e)(2).
 - ☐ c. Attached is our Check No. _____ in the amount of \$ _____ in payment of the fee under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(p).


- ☐ 3. This Information Disclosure Statement is being filed more than three months after the U.S. filing date and after the mailing date of a Final Rejection or Notice of Allowance, but before payment of the Issue Fee. Enclosed find our Check No. _____ in the amount of \$ _____ in payment of the fee under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(p); in addition:
- ☐ a. I hereby state that each item of information contained in this Information Disclosure Statement was cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application not more than three months prior to the filing of this Information Disclosure Statement. 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e)(1).
- ☐ b. I hereby state that no item of information in this Information Disclosure Statement was cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application and, to my knowledge after making reasonable inquiry, was known to any individual designated in 37 C.F.R. § 1.56(c) more than three months prior to the filing of this Information Disclosure Statement. 37 C.F.R. § 1.97(e)(2).
- ☒ 4. The document(s) was/were cited in a search report by a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application. Submission of an English language version of the search report that indicates the degree of relevance found by the foreign office is provided in satisfaction of the requirement for a concise explanation of relevance. 1138 OG 37, 38.
- ☐ 5. A concise explanation of the relevance of the non-English language document(s) appears below:
- ☐ 6. Copies of the documents were cited by or submitted to the Office in an IDS that complies with 37 C.F.R. § 1.98(a)-(c) in Application No. _____, filed _____, which is relied upon for an earlier filing date under 35 U.S.C. § 120. Thus, copies of these documents are not attached. 37 C.F.R. § 1.98(d).

It is respectfully requested that the Examiner initial and return a copy of the enclosed PTO-1449, and indicate in the official file wrapper of this patent application that the documents have been considered.

The U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is hereby authorized to charge any fee deficiency, or credit any overpayment, to our Deposit Account No. 19-0036.

Respectfully submitted,


STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX P.L.L.C.



Thomas C. Fiala
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 43,610

Date: 6/26/02

1100 New York Avenue, N.W.
Suite 600
Washington, D.C. 20005-3934
(202) 371-2600

	FORM PTO-1449		ATTY. DOCKET NO. 1875.0630001	APPLICATION NO. 09/874,127
	INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT		APPLICANT Theodore F. Rabenko	
			FILING DATE June 6, 2001	GROUP 2645

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

EXAMINER INITIAL	DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	NAME	CLASS	SUB-CLASS	FILING DATE
	AA1					
	AB1					
	AC1					
	AD1					
	AE1					
	AF1					
	AG1					
	AH1					
	AI1					
	AJ1					
	AK1					

RECEIVED
JUN 27 2002
Technology Center 2600

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS


EXAMINER INITIAL	DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	COUNTRY	CLASS	SUB-CLASS	TRANSLATION
	AL1	2 322 516	08/26/1998	GB		Yes No
	AM1					Yes No
	AN1					Yes No
	AO1					Yes No
	AP1					Yes No

OTHER (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, etc.)

AR	1	Copy of International Search Report from PCT Appl. No. PCT/US01/18200, mailed April 4, 2002, 7 pages.
AS	1	Hoshi et al., "Proposal of a Method of Voice Stream Multiplexing for IP Telephony Systems", Internet Workshop, February 18, 1999, pages 182-188.
AT	1	Gun Seo et al., "An Implementation of VoIP Cable Modem", Tencon 99, Proceedings of the IEEE Region 10 Conference, Cheju Island, South Korea, September 15-17, 1999, pages 1532-1535.

EXAMINER	DATE CONSIDERED
----------	-----------------

EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to Applicant.

	FORM PTO-1449	ATTY. DOCKET NO. 1875.0630001	APPLICATION NO. 09/874,127
	INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT	APPLICANT Theodore F. Rabenko	
	FILING DATE June 6, 2001	GROUP 2645	

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS							
EXAMINER INITIAL		DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	NAME	CLASS	SUB-CLASS	FILING DATE
	AA2						
	AB2						
	AC2						
	AD2						
	AE2						
	AF2						
	AG2						
	AH2						
	AI2						
	AJ2						
	AK2						

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS							
EXAMINER INITIAL		DOCUMENT NUMBER	DATE	COUNTRY	CLASS	SUB-CLASS	TRANSLATION
	AL2						Yes No
	AM2						Yes No
	AN2						Yes No
	AO2						Yes No
	AP2						Yes No

OTHER (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, etc.)

	AR	<u>2</u>	Saito, H., "Bandwidth Management in AAL2 Networks", Proceedings of the International Teletraffic Congress, June 7-11, 1999, Vol. 3A, pages 365-374.
	AS	<u>2</u>	Sriram et al., "Voice over ATM Using AAL2 and Bit Dropping: Performance and Call Admission Control", IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications, Vol. 17, No. 1, January 1999, pages 18-28.
	AT	<u>2</u>	

EXAMINER	DATE CONSIDERED
----------	-----------------

EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to Applicant.